

# Reinventing Parton Shower Monte Carlo

*Monte Carlo modelling of NLO DGLAP QCD Evolution  
in form of a Fully Unintegrated PDF*

**S. JADACH**

in collaboration with

**A. Kusina, M. Skrzypek and M. Sławińska**

**IFJ-PAN, Kraków, Poland**

Partly supported by EU grant MRTN-CT-2006-035505, and Polish Government grant N N202 128937.

Presented at **50 Cracow School of Theoretical Physics**,  
Zakopane, June 9-19th, 2010

More on <http://jadach.web.cern.ch/>



# Monte Carlo modelling of NLO DGLAP QCD Evolution in the fully unintegrated form; Mission statement:

Three-decades old paradigm of perturbative QCD calculations states that: hard process matrix element calculated to LO+NLO(+NNLO) level is combined with (i) either the collinear PDF at LO+NLO(+NNLO) or (ii) with the Monte Carlo parton shower, **but the MC PS is restricted to LO only!** For many years upgrading Monte Carlo parton shower to NLO level was regarded as **unfeasible in practice or in principle**, or both. In a series of the recent works we demonstrate, that for NLO non-singlet subset of diagrams **we are able to implement in the Monte Carlo PS the NLO DGLAP evolution, without any approximation.** This work, after extending to complete NLO DGLAP, will lead to a new class of powerful techniques of combining resummed and finite order pQCD calculations in a form of Monte Carlo event generators, for LHC and beyond.

# DGLAP Collinear QCD ISR Evolution in the Monte Carlo

1970

1980

1990

2000

2010

Moments OPE

(74) QCD: Georgi+Politzer

Diagramatic

(72) QED: Gribov+Lipatov

(77) Altarelli+Parisi

Monte Carlo

10 years

(85) Sjostrand

(88) Marchesini, Webber

NLO

Moments OPE

(78) Floratos+Ross+Sachrajda

Diagramatic

(81) Curci+Furmanski+Petronzio

Monte Carlo

27 years later

(92) Kato et.al.

(08) Jadach Skrzypek

WE ARE HERE!!!

Moments

(03) Moch+Verm.+Vogt

Diagramatic

(03) Moch+Verm.+Vogt

Monte Carlo

(15) ???

NNLO

# Why 20 years time lag?

## Many reasons:

- 1 Lack of motivation – poor exp. data from hadron colliders
- 2 QCD Parton shower Monte Carlo (PSMC) main objective was (still is?) hadronization  
– until recently not involved in pQCD @ hard process
- 3 **Conceptual barriers: Factorisation theorems (EGMPR, CFP, CSS, Bodwin,...) not suited for MC beyond LO:**
  - non-conservation of 4-momenta
  - over-subtractions → huge cancellations
  - non-positive distributions
  - real emissions irreversibly integrated over
- 4 CPU time: in 1985 No. of MC events  $< 50k$ ,  $\sim 3\%$  precision; now 2010,  $2 \cdot 10^{10}$  events and  $\sim 0.01\%$  errors are routine.

**Our expectation:** NLO PS era in QCD MC is coming!

After completing NLO and NNLO calculations for hard processes  
NLO PSMC may/will become the main front of pQCD activity!



# Possible profits/gains from NLO PSMC

- Complete set of “unintegrated soft counterterms” for combining hard process ME at NNLO with NLO PS MC
- Natural extensions towards BFKL/CCFM at low  $x$
- Better modelling of low scale phenomena,  $Q < 10\text{GeV}$ , quark thresholds, primordial  $k^T$ , underlying event, etc.
- Porting information on parton distributions from DIS (HERA) to W/Z/DY (LHC) in the MC itself, instead in form of collinear PDFs (universality must be preserved)
- and more...

MC modelling of NLO DGLAP is not the aim in itself – it will be a starting platform for many developments in many directions.



# The aim of the present exercise (KRKMC project)

## Constructing NLO Parton Shower Monte Carlo for QCD Initial State Radiation for one initial parton:

- based on the collinear factorisation (EGMPR, CFP, CSS, Bodwin...) as rigorously as we can,
- CFP=Curci-Furmanski-Petronzio scheme as a main reference/guide (axial gauge,  $\overline{MS}$  dim. regulariz.),
- implementing *exactly* NLO DGLAP evolution,
- and fully unintegrated exclusive PDFs (ePDFs),
- with NLO evolution done by the MC itself, using new Exclusive NLO kernels

We are going to show that it is feasible  
– the proof of the concept for non-singlet NLO DGLAP.




# More details on the project are available here:

- Epiphany 2009 Proceedings,  
article <http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.1399>  
slides <http://home.cern.ch/jadach/public/epip09.pdf>  
More on re-inserting NLO corrections into LO MC ( $\sim C_F^2$ )...
- RADCOR 2009 Proceedings,  
article <http://arxiv.org/abs/1002.0010>  
slides <http://home.cern.ch/jadach/public/RADCOR09.pdf>  
More on the “factorization scheme” in our NLO PSMC  
versus CFP/EGMPR...



# QCD factorisation versus Monte Carlo - An overview






# Leading Order (LO) ladder vertex is our “Born”

Emission of gluons out of quark


The aim is to implement in the Monte Carlo complete NLO DGLAP in the initial state ladder, using unintegrated Feynman diagrams of Curci-Furmanski-Petronzio scheme (axial gauge).




# 1-st order virtual and real correction (subset) diagrams

Virtual :

$$\left(1 + \Delta_{ISR}^{(1)}(z)\right)$$



Real :



# NOTATION: squared MEs = cut-diagrams, $C_F^2$ only

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \text{---} 2 \text{---} \bullet \\ | \quad | \\ \bullet \text{---} 1 \text{---} \bullet \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \bullet \text{---} 2 \text{---} \bullet \\ | \quad | \\ \bullet \text{---} 1 \text{---} \bullet \end{array} \right|^2 = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \text{---} 2 \text{---} \bullet \\ | \quad | \\ \bullet \text{---} 1 \text{---} \bullet \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \bullet \text{---} 1 \text{---} \bullet \\ | \quad | \\ \bullet \text{---} 2 \text{---} \bullet \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \text{---} 2 \text{---} \bullet \\ | \quad | \\ \bullet \text{---} 1 \text{---} \bullet \end{array}$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \uparrow z \\ \bullet \text{---} 1-z \text{---} \bullet \\ | \quad | \\ \bullet \end{array} \right|^2 = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \bullet \text{---} \bullet \\ | \quad | \\ \bullet \end{array}$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \text{---} 2 \text{---} \bullet \\ | \quad | \\ \bullet \text{---} 1 \text{---} \bullet \end{array} \right|^2 = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \text{---} 2 \text{---} \bullet \\ | \quad | \\ \bullet \text{---} 1 \text{---} \bullet \end{array} \mathbf{P}$$




# LO ladder = parton shower MC

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} = e^{-S_{ISR}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d^3 k_i}{k_i^0} \theta_{Q > a_i > a_{i-1}} \rho_{1B}^{(0)}(k_i) \delta_{x=\prod z_i}$$

$$a_i = \frac{k_i^T}{\alpha_i}, \quad \alpha_i = \frac{k_i^+}{2E_h}, \quad \rho_{1B}^{(0)}(k_i) = \frac{2C_F^2 \alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{1}{k_i^{T2}} \frac{1+z^2}{2}$$

# LO with NLO-corrected kernel at the end of the ladder



Virt. multiplicative

Undoing LO simplificat.

Sum over trailing LO spectators, essential (BE, YFS61)

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \square \\ \text{---} \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right|^2 = (1 + 2\Re(\Delta_{ISR}^{(1)})) \left| \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right|^2$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{---} \\ \square \\ \text{---} \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right|^2 = \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right|^2 + \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right|^2 - \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \square \\ \text{---} \\ \bullet \\ \text{---} \\ \uparrow \end{array} \right|^2$$

# LO with NLO-corrected end-ladder kernel, $\sim C_F^2$

## MORE DETAILS:

$$\bar{D}_B^{[1]}(x, Q) = e^{-S_{ISR}} \left[ \text{Diagram 1} + e^{-S_{ISR}} \left[ \text{Diagram 2} + e^{-S_{ISR}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \text{Diagram 3} \right] \right] = e^{-S_{ISR}} \left\{ \delta_{x=1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{Q > a_i > a_{i-1}} d^3 \eta_i \rho_{1B}^{(1)}(k_i) \right) \left[ \beta_0^{(1)}(z_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} W(\tilde{k}_n, \tilde{k}_j) \right] \delta_{x=\prod_{j=1}^n x_j} \right\},$$

where  $d\eta_i = \frac{d^3 k_i}{k_i^0}$ ,  $\beta_0^{(1)} = \frac{\text{Diagram 4}}{\text{Diagram 5}}$ ,  $W(k_2, k_1) = \frac{\text{Diagram 6}}{\text{Diagram 7}} = \frac{\text{Diagram 8} + \text{Diagram 9}}{\text{Diagram 7}} - 1$ .

Mapping  $k_i \rightarrow \tilde{k}_i$  instrumental.  $S_{ISR}$  = double-log Sudakov,  $W$  is non-singular!



# Algebraic crosscheck

Analytical integration of NLO part  $\sum_j W(\tilde{k}_n, \tilde{k}_j)$  can be done leading to:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int du \int_{Q > a_n > a_{n-1}} \frac{da_n}{a_n} \mathcal{P}_{qq}^{(1)}(u) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{a_{i+1} > a_i > a_{i-1}} \frac{da_i}{a_i} \mathcal{P}_{qq}^{(0)}(z_i) \right) \delta_{x=u \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} z_j}$$

where we recover precisely NLO part (including virtuals) of standard DGLAP kernel  $\mathcal{P}_{qq}^{(1)}(u)$  defined according to:

$$\mathcal{P}_{qq}^{(1)}(u) \ln \frac{Q}{q_0} = \int_{Q > a_n > a_0} d^3 \eta_n \rho_{1B}^{(1)}(k_n) \beta_0^{(1)}(z_n) \delta_{u=z_n} + \int_{Q > a_n > a_0} d^3 \eta_n \int_{a_n > a_{n'} > 0} d^3 \eta_{n'} \beta_1^{(1)}(\tilde{k}_n, \tilde{k}_{n'}) \delta_{u=z_n z_{n'}}$$

One NLO standard inclusive kernel of DGLAP truly reproduced.



# NLO-corrected middle-of-the-ladder kernel, $\sim C_F^2$

Position of the NLO correction/insertion  $p$  can be anywhere in the ladder and we sum up over  $p$ :

$$\bar{D}_B^{[1]}(x, Q) = e^{-S_{ISR}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Diagram 1: Ladder with } n \text{ rungs, levels } 1, 2, \dots, n-1, n, \text{ and } x. \\ \text{Diagram 2: Ladder with } n \text{ rungs, level } p \text{ highlighted in purple.} \\ \text{Diagram 3: Ladder with } n \text{ rungs, level } p \text{ highlighted in red, with a dashed red loop between levels } p \text{ and } j. \end{array} \right\} = e^{-S_{ISR}} \left\{ \delta_{x=1} + \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{Q > a_i > a_{i-1}} d^3 \eta_i \rho_{1B}^{(1)}(k_i) \right) \left[ \sum_{p=1}^n \beta_0^{(1)}(z_p) + \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} W(\tilde{k}_p, \tilde{k}_j) \right] \delta_{x=\prod_{j=1}^n x_j} \right\},$$

Next step is to add more “NLO insertions”,  
 for instance 2 at positions  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  and sum up over them...  
 then 3 insertions at  $p_1, p_2, p_3$  and so on  
 – LO+NLO kernels built up all over along the ladder!






# NLO-corrected kernels all over the ladder, $\sim C_F^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{D}_B^{[1]}(x, Q) &= e^{-S_{ISR}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Diagram 1: Ladder with } n \text{ rungs, rungs } p, 2, 1 \\ \text{Diagram 2: Ladder with } n \text{ rungs, rungs } p_1, j_1 \\ \text{Diagram 3: Ladder with } n \text{ rungs, rungs } p_1, p_2, j_1, j_2 \end{array} \right\} \\
 &= e^{-S_{ISR}} \left\{ \delta_{x=1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{Q > a_i > a_{i-1}} d^3 \eta_i \rho_{1B}^{(1)}(k_i) \beta_0^{(1)}(z_p) \right) \left[ 1 + \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} W(\tilde{k}_p, \tilde{k}_j) + \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{p_1=1}^n \sum_{p_2=1}^{p_1-1} \sum_{\substack{j_1=1 \\ j_1 \neq p_2}}^{p_1-1} \sum_{\substack{j_2=1 \\ j_2 \neq p_1, j_2}}^{p_2-1} W(\tilde{k}_{p_1}, \tilde{k}_{j_1}) W(\tilde{k}_{p_2}, \tilde{k}_{j_2}) + \dots \right] \delta_{x=\prod_{j=1}^n x_j} \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

The above has been tested with 3-digit precision in the MC prototype, see next slide.



# Numerical test of ISR pure $C_F^2$ NLO MC



Numerical results for  $D(x, Q)$  from inclusive and exclusive **two** Monte Carlos. **Blue curve** is single NLO insertion, **red curve** is double insertion component. LO+NLO is off scale. Evolution  $10\text{GeV} \rightarrow 1\text{TeV}$  starting from  $\delta(1-x)$ . The ratio demonstrates 3-digit agreement, in units of LO.



# THE PROBLEM WITH GLUON PAIR COMPONENT OF the NLO KERNEL, $\sim C_F C_A$ (FSR)

Straightforward inclusion of gluon pair diagram in the previous method would ruin Monte Carlo weight due to presence of Sudakov double logarithmic  $+S_{FSR}$  in 2-real correction:

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{red square} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{dashed lines } z, l \end{array} \right|^2 = \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{dashed } z \\ \bullet \\ \text{dashed } l \end{array} \right|^2 + \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{dashed } z \\ \bullet \\ \text{dashed } l \end{array} \right|^2 + \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{dashed } z \\ \bullet \\ \text{dashed } l \end{array} \right|^2 - \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{dashed } z \\ \bullet \\ \text{dashed } l \end{array} \right|^2$$

and  $-S_{FSR}$  in the virtual correction:

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{purple square} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{dashed } z \end{array} \right|^2 = (1 + 2\Re(\Delta_{ISR} + V_{FSR})) \left| \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \text{dashed } l-z \end{array} \right|^2.$$

SOLUTION: Resummation/exponentiation of FSR, see next slides for details of the scheme and numerical test of the prototype MC.



# NLO FSR corr. at the end of the ladder, $\sim C_F C_A$

Additional NLO FSR corr. at the end of the ladder:

$$e^{-S_{ISR} - S_{FSR}} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^m \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with } n-2, n-1, 1, 2, r, m \text{ vertices} \\ \text{and } 2 \text{ external lines} \end{array} \right|^2$$

where Sudakov  $S_{FSR}$  is subtracted in the virtual part:

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with } 1 \text{ vertex} \\ \text{and } 2 \text{ external lines} \end{array} \right|^2 = (1 + 2\Re(\Delta_{ISR} + V_{FSR} - S_{FSR})) \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with } 1 \text{ vertex} \\ \text{and } 2 \text{ external lines} \end{array} \right|^2$$

and FSR counterterm is subtracted in the 2-real-gluon part:

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with } 2 \text{ vertices} \\ \text{and } 2 \text{ external lines} \end{array} \right|^2 = \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \\ \text{Diagram 3} \end{array} \right|^2 - \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 4} \\ \text{Diagram 5} \end{array} \right|^2$$

The miracle: both are free of any collinear or soft divergency!!!



Please wake up!

**The most important point  
in this talk, next slide:**



# ISR+FSR NLO scheme, NLO corr. at end of the ladder

$$\bar{D}_{NS}^{[1]}(x, Q) =$$

$$e^{-S} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: } n \text{ vertices, } m \text{ external lines} \\ \text{Diagram 2: } n-1 \text{ vertices, } m \text{ external lines} \\ \text{Diagram 3: } n-2 \text{ vertices, } m \text{ external lines} \\ \vdots \\ \text{Diagram } j: \text{ } j \text{ vertices, } m \text{ external lines} \\ \vdots \\ \text{Diagram } m: \text{ } m \text{ vertices, } m \text{ external lines} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$


$$= e^{-S_{ISR}} \left\{ \delta_{x=1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{Q > a_i > a_{i-1}} d^3 \eta_i \rho_{1B}^{(1)}(k_i) \right) e^{-S_{FSR}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^m \int_{Q > a_{nj} > a_{n(l-1)}} d^3 \eta'_j \rho_{1V}^{(1)}(k'_j) \right) \right. \\ \left. \times \left[ \beta_0^{(1)}(z_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} W(\tilde{k}_n, \tilde{k}_j) + \sum_{r=1}^m W(\tilde{k}_n, \tilde{k}'_r) \right] \delta_{x=\prod_{j=1}^n x_j} \right\}$$

$$\beta_0^{(1)} \equiv \frac{\left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: } n \text{ vertices, } m \text{ external lines} \\ \text{Diagram 2: } n-1 \text{ vertices, } m \text{ external lines} \end{array} \right|^2}{\left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3: } n \text{ vertices, } m \text{ external lines} \end{array} \right|^2}, \quad W(k_2, k_1) \equiv \frac{\left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: } n \text{ vertices, } m \text{ external lines} \\ \text{Diagram 2: } n-1 \text{ vertices, } m \text{ external lines} \end{array} \right|^2}{\left| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3: } n \text{ vertices, } m \text{ external lines} \end{array} \right|^2} - 1.$$



# 3-digit precision numerical test of FSR methodology

Numerical test done for single NLO ISR+FSR insertion  
for  $n = 1, 2$  ISR gluons and infinite no. of FSR gluons:



because in this case analytical integration is feasible.  
MC agrees precisely with the analytical result.




## **A little bit more on theory of the collinear factorization in a form suitable for the Monte Carlo**





## "Raw" factorization of the IR collinear singularities



- Cut vertex  $M$ : spin sums and Lips integrations over all lines cut across
- $C_0$  and  $K_0$  are 2-particle irreducible (2PI)
- $C_0$  is IR finite, while  $K_0$  encapsulates **all** IR collinear singularities
- Use of the axial gauge essential for the proof
- Formal proof given in EGMPR NP B152 (1979) 285
- Notation next slide

$$M = C_0(1 + K_0 + K_0^2 + \dots) = C_0 \frac{1}{1 - K_0} \equiv C_0 \Gamma_0$$

EGMPR scheme customized to  $\overline{MS}$  by Furmanski and Petronzio (80):

$$\begin{aligned}
 F &= C_0 \cdot \frac{1}{1 - K_0} = C \left( \alpha, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} \right) \otimes \Gamma \left( \alpha, \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right), \\
 &= \left\{ C_0 \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (1 - \mathbb{P}) \cdot K_0} \right\} \otimes \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \left( \mathbb{P} K_0 \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (1 - \mathbb{P}) \cdot K_0} \right)} \right\}, \\
 \Gamma \left( \alpha, \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) &\equiv \left( \frac{1}{1 - K} \right)_{\otimes} = 1 + K + K \otimes K + K \otimes K \otimes K + \dots, \\
 K &= \mathbb{P} K_0 \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (1 - \mathbb{P}) \cdot K_0}, \quad C = C_0 \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (1 - \mathbb{P}) \cdot K_0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Ladder part  $\Gamma$  corresponds to MC parton shower

$C$  is the hard process part

$\mathbb{P}$  is the projection operator:  $\mathbb{P} = P_{spin} P_{kin} PP$



For MC we use right now brute force interpretation of collinear  $\varepsilon$ -poles:

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \int_0^{\mu_F} \frac{dk^T}{k^T} \left( \frac{k^T}{\mu_F} \right)^\varepsilon.$$

CFP (1980) factorization scheme

$$F = C_0 \cdot \frac{1}{1 - K_0} = C_0 \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (1 - \mathbb{P}) \cdot K_0} \otimes \Gamma, \quad \Gamma = \frac{1}{1 - \left( \mathbb{P} K_0 \cdot \frac{1}{1 - (1 - \mathbb{P}) \cdot K_0} \right)},$$

introduces enormous oversubtractions/cancellations. At LO we have:

$$\Gamma \simeq \frac{1}{1 - \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \right)} = 1 + \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \right) + \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \right)^2 + \dots$$

while from RGE and explicit LO calculation give us directly

$$\Gamma = e^{+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + \dots$$

We want this exponent directly from the Feynman diagrams!!!



**This is what we actually implement in the present MC!**

$$F = \frac{1}{1-K_0} = C_0 \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_{\mu}[K_0] \cdot \exp_{TO} \left( \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}' \left\{ {}^s K_0 \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_s[K_0] \right\} \right) (\mu)$$

$$\overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_{\mu}(K_0) = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{B}}_{\mu} \left[ \frac{1}{1-K_0} \right] \equiv 1 + \overleftarrow{\mathbb{B}}_{\mu}[K_0] + \overleftarrow{\mathbb{B}}_{\mu}[K_0 \cdot K_0] + \overleftarrow{\mathbb{B}}_{\mu}[K_0 \cdot K_0 \cdot K_0] + \dots$$

Explaining the notation/meaning step by step:

- $\exp_{TO}$  means **time ordered exponential** in the time evolution variable = log of factorization scale, next slide.
- Operator  $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{B}}$  is defined **recursively** (similarly as  $\beta$ -functions in Yennie-Frautschi-Suura 1961 subtraction scheme):

$$\overleftarrow{\mathbb{B}}_{\mu}[K_0] = K_0 - \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{\mu}\{K_0\},$$

$$\overleftarrow{\mathbb{B}}_{\mu}[K_0 \cdot K_0] = K_0 \cdot K_0 - \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{\mu}\{ {}^s_2 K_0 \} \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{s_2}\{ {}^s_1 K_0 \} - \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{\mu}\{ {}^s_2 K_0 \} \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{B}}_{s_2}[K_0] - \overleftarrow{\mathbb{B}}_{\mu}[K_0] \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{\mu}\{K_0\},$$

$$\overleftarrow{\mathbb{B}}_{\mu}[K_0 \cdot K_0 \cdot K_0] = K_0 \cdot K_0 \cdot K_0 - \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{\mu}\{ {}^s_3 K_0 \} \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{s_3}\{ {}^s_2 K_0 \} \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{s_2}\{ {}^s_1 K_0 \} - \dots$$

- The key point is definition of a new  $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{\mu}$  projection operator.



# New factorization formula = algebraic structure for MC

$$F = \frac{1}{1-K_0} = C_0 \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_\mu[K_0] \cdot \exp_{TO} \left( \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}' \left\{ {}^s K_0 \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_s[K_0] \right\} \right) (\mu)$$

## Modified projection operator $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_\mu$ :

- Does spin projection as in  $\mathbb{P}$  of CFP
- sets on-shell all (cut) real momenta **towards hard process**
- **acts on integrand, leaves intact Lorentz invar.ph.sp. (LIPS)**
- **sets upper limit  $\mu$  on the phase space** for all real (cut) partons **towards hardon** eg.  $\mu > s(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \max(k_i^T)$ ,
- our preferred choice is **rapidity ordering** choice:  
 $s(k_1, \dots, k_n) = a(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \max(k_i^T / \alpha_i)$ ,  $\alpha_i = k_i^+ / E$
- $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_\mu(A)$  acts on  $A$  which is **at most single-log** (col.) divergent and extracts this singularity from the LIPS integrand,  
(for instance by rescaling all  $k_i^T \rightarrow \lambda k_i^T$  and taking coefficient in front of  $1/\lambda$ ).  
NB.  $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'(K_0)$  is OK. because  $K_0$  is single-log divergent.
- Nesting like  $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_\mu[K_0 \cdot (1 - \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'(K_0))]$  is allowed, as long as its argument [...] is at most single-log divergent.



# New factorization formula = algebraic structure for MC

$$F = \frac{1}{1-K_0} = C_0 \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_{\mu}[K_0] \cdot \exp_{TO} \left( \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}' \left\{ {}^s K_0 \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_s[K_0] \right\} \right) (\mu)$$

## Time ordered exponential:

$$\exp_{TO} \left( \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{\mu} \{A\} \right) (\mu) = 1 + \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{\mu} \{A\} + \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{\mu} \{s_2 A\} \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{s_2} \{s_1 A\} + \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{\mu} \{s_3 A\} \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{s_3} \{s_2 A\} \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{s_2} \{s_1 A\} + \dots$$

NOTATION: For  $A = \int dLips(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) f(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ ,  
where  $k_i$  are on-shell cut lines (real emitted partons)  
the notation  $\{s_3 A\}$  defines  $s_3 = a(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \max(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ .

Hence, term like

$$\overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{\mu} \{s_3 A\} \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{s_3} \{s_2 A\} \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_{s_2} \{s_1 A\}$$

has its entire integrand multiplied by  $\theta_{\mu > s_3 > s_2 > s_1}$ ,  
where  $\mu$  is constant and  $s_i$  are integration variables dependent.



# Evolution and kernels...

In our factoriz. formula  $F(Q) = C(Q, \mu) \cdot D(\mu)$ , hard process part is  $C(Q, \mu) = C_0 \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_\mu[K_0]$ . and exclusive PDF (ePDF) is the integrand in:

$$D(\mu) = \exp_{TO} \left( \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}' \left\{ {}^s K_0 \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_s[K_0] \right\} \right) (\mu) = \exp_{TO}(K).$$

LO and NLO truncations of the exclusive evolution kernel  $K_\mu$  are:

$$K_\mu^{LO} = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_\mu \left\{ {}^s K_0 \right\}, \quad \text{taken at } \mathcal{O}(\alpha^1),$$

$$K_\mu^{NLO} = \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_\mu \left\{ {}^s K_0 + K_0 \cdot (1 - \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}') \cdot K_0 \right\}, \quad \text{truncated at } \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2).$$

The  $x$ -dependent  $D(\mu, x)$  obeys ordinary evolution equation:


$$\partial_\mu D(\mu, x) = \mathcal{P} \otimes D(\mu)(x)$$

with the **traditional inclusive DGLAP kernel** being

$$\mathcal{P}(x) = \int d\text{Lips} \delta \left( x = \frac{\sum k_i^+}{E_0} \right) \delta \left( 1 - \frac{s}{\mu} \right) \overleftarrow{\mathbb{P}}'_\mu \left\{ {}^s K_0 \cdot \overleftarrow{\mathbb{R}}_s[K_0] \right\}.$$



# What next? NLO corrections to HARD process M.E.







# Summary and Prospects

- First serious **feasibility study** of the true NLO exclusive parton shower MC is (almost) complete for non-singlet NLO DGLAP. It works!!!
- Short range aim: Complete non-singlet, including hard process.
- Middle range aim: Complete singlet (Q-G transitions).
- Optimise MC weight evaluation (CPU time).
- Adding NLO hard process into the game (similar to MC@NLO but different).
- Complete NLO MC for DIS@HERA and W/Z prod. @LHC.
- Extensions towards CCFM/BFKL, quark masses, fitting PDFs with Monte Carlo.

